

Liberté Égalité Fraternité



## InterFLOP: Mid-Year Meeting 06/10/21

## E. Martin ONERA – DAAA/NFLU

Ce document est la propriété de l'ONERA. Il ne peut être communiqué à des tiers et/ou reproduit sans l'autorisation préalable écrite de l'ONERA, et son contenu ne peut être divulgué. This document and the information contained herin is proprietary information of ONERA and shall not be disclosed or reproduced without the prior authorization of ONERA.

## Task 6 : Application cases and Users technical board

- <u>Application</u>: ONERA *Aghora* code (\*)
  - A high-order DG solver for compressible turbulent flows in aerodynamics
  - Motivation: industrial demand for accurate solutions
- Numerical scheme: Discontinuous Galerkin method (DG) in space
  - Piecewise polynomial approximate solution
  - Spatially high-order accurate numerical schemes with compact stencil
- Time implicit integration
  - Parallel iterative Krylov solvers (flexible GMRES, deflation, Block ILU(0))
- <u>Several challenges</u>
  - Large and ill-conditioned sparse linear systems
    - Needs of **robust** and **efficient** Krylov solvers
  - High-computational cost and large memory requirements
    - Code optimization techniques, mixed-precision algorithms

(\*) F. Renac, M. de la Llave Plata, E. Martin, J. B. Chapelier, V. Couaillier, *Aghora: A High-Order DG Solver for Turbulent Flow Simulations*, in: Notes on Numerical Fluid Mechanics and Multidisciplinary Design, Springer International Publishing, 2015, pp. 315-335.



## Task 6 : Application cases and Users technical board

- <u>Feedback</u>
  - Sensitivity to the compiling options (Intel and GNU)
    - Difficulties to determine which portions of the code are involved
  - Improve arithmetic intensity: data structures redesign, operators packing
    - Choice of the **polynomial degree** *p* has a **crucial impact** on performance
    - Strategies might accelerate both low and high degrees  $(1 \le p \le 5)$
    - Not easy to identify best scenario as the code could be memory-bound
  - Mixed-precision algorithms during the Krylov solver preconditioning step
    - Used daily but there are still some open questions:
      - Best practices ? Safeguards ?
      - All operations are really well declined ?
      - Which precision is needed ? What gains could be expected ?
  - Numerical instabilities
    - An easy way to check behavior of certain sensitive functions of the code ?

